Professor Lynda Powell Office Hours: TH 11-12 lynda.powell@rochester.edu Professor Michael Peress Harkness 326 MW 3:30-4:15 mperess@z.rochester.edu

PSC 523: American Politics Field Seminar

Seminar Fall 2013: T 1**2**0-3:15 (Harkness 112)

Course Description

This seminar will introduce you to classic as welcastemporary research in American politics. We will discuss the literaure both in political institutions (e.g., original sector) and in political behavior (e.g., voting). By covering an array topics in these areas, the comprehensive understanding of the **aiedd** the various directins in which it is now moving.

Requirements

You should do all the readings for eachek's assignments and be prepatro discuss them in class. Grades will be based on two criticessays on the readings (5-10 pages), in-class presentations, and general class discussion. Studespoensibilities include leading discuss of one of the readings in eachseminar, drawing the class into describing a comparing the readings substantively and methodologically. (There will be some flexibilitabout this depending on the size of the class and the readings for the week.) A one-two plagedout of notes should be provided. The specific assignments will be detailed in class.

Readings

Most articles are available online. Any that are wild be available through course reserves (either online or as a physical book) or in a box in the **Ralit**Science Lounge, Harkness 314. Please be sure to return these quickly, so all others can read them.

Course Schedule Latest version available on the course web site: http://www.rochester.edu/Cothe/faculty/mperess/apfs2013.html

September 2 – Introduction No Reading

September 9 – Voting Behavior, Part 1

- [1] Downs, Anthony (1957)An Economic Theory of Democracyew York: HarperCollins, Chapters <u>1, 7-8, and 1</u>2
- [2] Campbell, Angus, Philip E. Converse, Warren E. Miller, and Donald E. Stokes (1969). American VoterChicago: University of Chicago Press, Chapters 6-7

- [3] Converse, Philip E. (1964). "The Nature of Belief Systems in Mass Publicksleichtogy and Discontent ed. David E. Apter. New Yrka: Free Press, Pages 206-231
- [4] Ansolabehere, Stephen, Jonathan Rodden, and James M. Snyder <u>(2008)</u><u>rength of Issues</u>: <u>Using Multiple Measures to Gauge Preference</u><u>Bty</u>, <u>Ideological Contsaint</u>, and <u>Issue Voting</u> American Political Science Review2:215-232.

September 16 – Voting Behavior, Part 2

- [1] Alesina, Alberto, and Howard Rosenthal (1998) artisan Politics, Divided Government, and the Economy Chapter 3, 6, and 7
- [2] Lau, Richard R. and David P. Redlawsk (1997) ting Correctly American Political Science Review91: 585-98.
- [3] McKuen, Michael B., Robert SErikson, James A. Stimson (1992) easants or Bankers? The

[4] Gerber, Alan (1998). Estimating the Effect of Campaign Spending on Senate Election using Instrumental Variables American Political Sence Review 92:401-411.

October 21 – Congress, Part 1

[1] Binder, Sarah (1996)<u>The Partisan Basis of Procedural CheoiAllocating Parlimentary Rights in the House, 1789-19</u>9American Political Science Review:8-20.

November 19 – Courts

- [1] Segal, Jeffrey A. and Harold J. Spaeth (1996): Influence of Stare Desits on the Votes of United States Supreme Court Justicemerican Journal of Political Sciende971-1003.
- [2] Gordon, SanfordC. and Gregory A. Huber (2007).<u>The Effect of Electoral Competitiveness</u> on <u>Incumbent Behavior</u>Quarterly Journal of Political Science:107-138.
- [3] Bailey, Michael and Forest Maltzman (2008) <u>Des Legal Doctrine Matter? Unpacking Law</u> and <u>Policy Preference on the U.S. Supreme CoArr</u>terican Political Science Review 2:369-384.
- [4] Clark, Tom S., and Beajmin Lauderdale (2010)<u>Locating Supreme Court Opinions in Doctrine</u> SpaceAmerican Journal of Political Science:871-890.

November 26 – Bureaucracy

- [1] Lewis, David E. (2007).<u>Testing Pendleton's Premise:</u> Deolitical Appointees Make Worse <u>Bureaucrats</u>Journal of Politics69:1073-1088,
- [2] Ting, Michael M. (2008) Whistleblowing American Political Science Review 2:249-267.
- [3] Gordon, Sanford C. (2009)<u>Assessing Partisan Bias in FeedePublic Corruption Prosecutions</u> American Political Science Review03:534:554